



2009-10  
SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT CARD

**Nicolas Junior High School**  
**Fullerton Elementary District**



**Published During**  
2010-11

**Principal:**  
Mathew Barnett

**Address:**  
1100 West Olive Ave.  
Fullerton, California 92833

**Phone:**  
714-447-7775

**Email:**  
[mathew\\_barnett@fsd.k12.ca.us](mailto:mathew_barnett@fsd.k12.ca.us)

**Website:**  
<http://fsd.k12.ca.us/nicolas>



## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Nicolas Junior High School is located in the southern section of Fullerton, one of 20 school sites in the Fullerton School District.

The mission of Nicolas Junior High School is for all students to master grade level standards to achieve their potential.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parental involvement.

PTSA  
Parent Conferences  
School Site Council  
ELAC  
DELAC  
Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQE) Classes

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	410
Grade 8	457
Total Enrollment	867

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.65	White	11.53
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.12	Two or More Races	0.12
Asian	3.81	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65
Filipino	1.15	English Learners	43
Hispanic or Latino	79.93	Students with Disabilities	13
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.69		

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays, by subject area, the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2007-08				2008-09				2009-10			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	29	4	33	11	29	8	24	13	23	25	13	9
Mathematics	34		16	26	29	2	28	8	24	17	20	8
Science	33		12	12	33		8	12	28	3	14	6
Social Science	30	2	18	10	33		9	19	28	5	17	8

### III. School Climate

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

A comprehensive school safety plan was developed and approved prior to March 1, 2000 in compliance with Education Code Sections 33126, 35256, and 32286 as well as applicable District policies and regulations. Each year, prior to March 1, the school's safety plan is reviewed, updated and discussed with staff and School Site Council. Annually, the school's staff reports on the status of its safety plan with the school leadership team, PTA and School Site Council. Status reports and updates are reviewed at the beginning of each school year and again in December before the finished School Safety Plan is signed off by March 1 by the members of the School Site Council.

The key elements of the plan include: a) providing a safe teaching and learning environment for all students and staff members, b) ensuring that all students are safe and secure while at school sponsored activities, c) making district programs and community resources available to students and parents, and d) creating a school where students, programs, and community members interact in an atmosphere of mutual respect that enhances the environment for learning. Each year the school submits along with the safety plan a detailed "action plan" which addresses the identified areas the staff and School Site Council has brought forward as critical areas of concern or how they should improve. A copy of the plan is available at all times in the school office and will provide a more detailed description of each element of the plan including specific actions, resources and time lines to be implemented by the school. Copies are also available at the District Office in the office of Child Welfare and Attendance.

#### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Suspensions	14.9	17.6	37.7	4.1	4.3	5.8
Expulsions	0.6	0.2	0	0.1	0	0

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. Listed below is more specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

Nicolas Junior High School has 37 classrooms, a multipurpose room, a physical education shower and locker building, a Healthy Start program building, speech/language room, and a library media center. The main campus was built in 1956. Additions were constructed in 1959, 1961, and 1964. Five portable classrooms were added in 2002, with one more added in 2003.

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

The District has established cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the district maintenance office. The Maintenance and Operations staff works with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior and exterior painting, and floor systems. During the 2009-10 school year, the District spent \$130,503 on deferred maintenance. For the 2010-11 school year, the District budgeted \$313,157 for the deferred maintenance program.

### School Facility Good Repair Status. (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Inspected On: 12/3/2010	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	—	✓	—	—	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	—	✓	—	—	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	—	✓	—	—	
Electrical: Electrical	—	✓	—	—	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	—	✓	—	—	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	—	✓	—	—	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	—	✓	—	—	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	—	—	✓	—	Adjust Door Closer
Overall Rating	—	✓	—	—	

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10
Teachers with Full Credential	41	39	36	609
Teachers without Full Credential	4	1	1	2
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	1	0	0	N/A

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	2	2	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	2	4	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	0

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by Highly Qualified Teachers as defined in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), in a school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under the ESEA can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District		
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	867
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	0.5	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist (non - teaching)	0.5	N/A
Other	0.5	N/A

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Description of school's program towards meeting William's Settlement Requirements

On October 12, 2010, the Governing Board of the Fullerton School District certified that, as of that date, each pupil in the District in kindergarten through grade eight, had been provided with a standards-aligned textbook or basic instructional materials purchased from the approved standards-aligned adoption list in the core curriculum areas listed below.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school; whether the textbooks and instructional materials are from the most recent adoption (yes/no); and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	2001-02 Houghton Mifflin K-5, c. 2003 2001-02 Holt 6-8, c.2003	0	Yes

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Mathematics	2008-09 Houghton Mifflin K-5, c. 2009 2008-09 Holt McDougal 6-8, c. 2008	0	Yes
Science	2007-08 MacMillian McGraw Hill K-5, c. 2008 2007-08 Holt McDougal 6-8, c. 2007	0	Yes
History-Social Science	2006-07 Houghton Mifflin K-5, c. 2007 2006-07 McDougal Littell 6-8, c. 2006	0	Yes
Foreign Language	2001-02 ¡En español! 7-8, c. 2004	0	Yes
Health	On-line Health Curriculum Dairy Council of California (grade-appropriate) materials Too Good for Drugs materials (grades 4-6) 2004 Holt McDougal Decisions for Health (Level Red (grade 7) c. 2004	0	Yes
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			
Visual and Performing Arts	"All the Arts for All the Kids" lessons/curriculum in visual art, music, dance and theater Instrumental music (grades 5-6) - band and string instruments and musical scores	0	Yes

VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$4,518.00	\$395.00	\$4,123.00	\$66,518.00
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,406.00	\$72,711.00
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-0.06	-0.09
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,681.00	\$68,212.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-0.27	-0.02

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services (e.g., information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement status) that are provided at the school through either categorical funds or other sources.

#### Types of Services Funded

In addition to general state funding, Fullerton School District receives state and federal categorical funding for special programs. These funds support the following educational programs, including but not limited to:

- Beginning Teacher and Support (BTSA)
- Class Size Reduction
- Gifted and Talented Education
- Economic Impact Aid
- Instructional Materials
- Peer Assistance and Review (PAR)
- School Safety and Violence Prevention
- Special Education
- Title I, Economically Disadvantaged
- Title II, Teacher and Principal Quality
- Title III, Education for English Learners

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,828	\$41,988
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$76,263	\$68,649
Highest Teacher Salary	\$96,541	\$87,156
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$114,930	\$109,026
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$118,124	\$112,489
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$113,872

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Superintendent Salary	\$190,592	\$181,890
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40	
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7	

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The assessments under the STAR program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through nine, mathematics for grades three through seven and Algebra I and science in grades five, eight, and ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2009 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/pkt5intrpts09.pdf>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
English-Language Arts	35	39	45	57	61	65	46	50	52
Mathematics	35	47	47	61	68	72	43	46	48
Science	45	52	55	63	67	74	46	50	54
History-Social Science	19	30	42	44	55	63	36	41	44

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	65	72	74	63
All Students at the School	45	47	55	42
Male	43	52	56	44
Female	48	43	55	40
Black or African American	44	19	17	8
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	81	81	82	71
Filipino	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	41	46	51	37
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
White	58	54	71	60
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42	44	51	37
English Learners	24	35	36	19
Students with Disabilities	36	26	31	18
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5			
7	21.3	28.7	27.9
9			

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2007	2008	2009
Statewide	3	2	4
Similar Schools	6	4	10

### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years. Note: N/A means that the student group is not numerically significant or data were not available.

Group	Actual API Change		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All Students at the School	3	62	22
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	8	60	28
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White	40	69	
Two or More Races			0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	5	65	26
English Learners	5	71	23
Students with Disabilities	-2		69

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2010 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the 2010 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2010 Growth API		
	School	LEA	State
All Students at the School	752	855	767
Black or African American		793	685
American Indian or Alaska Native			728
Asian		962	889
Filipino		940	851
Hispanic or Latino	736	779	715
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			754
White		895	838
Two or More Races		936	807
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	734	774	712
English Learners	704	794	691
Students with Disabilities	587	678	580

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the six AYP criteria described above.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	10

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2010-11)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2005-2006	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	5
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	25

## XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

2009-2010 (Three Days)

Primary Focus

- K-8 Intervention Programs
- K-8 English Language Development
- K-8 Writing Strategies
- K-8 Language Arts Strategies
- K-8 Mathematics Strategies

Delivery of Professional Development

- Staff Development Day
- Workshops
- Conferences
- After-school Workshops
- In-class Coaching

Other Related Professional Development Activities

- Data-Driven instruction
- Differentiated Instruction
- Technology
- Health and Wellness
- SDAIE Strategies
- Systematic ELD
- Response to Intervention
- Professional Learning Communities

2008-2009 (Three Days)

Primary Focus

- K-8 Mathematics Textbook Adoption
- K-5 Physical Education Program
- K-8 English Language Development
- K-8 Writing Strategies

Delivery of Professional Development

- Staff Development Day
- Workshops
- Conferences
- After- school Workshops
- In-class Coaching

Other Related Professional Development Activities

- Data-Driven instruction
- Mathematics Training
- Differentiated Instruction
- Technology
- Physical Education/Wellness
- SDAIE Strategies
- Systematic ELD
- Response to Intervention

2007-2008 (Three Days)

- K-8 Science Textbook Adoption
- K-8 English Language Development
- K-8 Writing Strategies

Delivery of Professional Development

- Staff Development Day
- Workshops
- Conferences
- After- school Workshops
- In-class Coaching

Other Related Professional Development Activities

- Data-Driven instruction
- Science Training
- Mathematics
- Technology
- SDAIE Strategies
- Systematic ELD
- Step Up to Writing Program
- Response to Intervention