

2010-11
SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT CARD

Beechwood Elementary School
Fullerton Elementary District

Published During
2011-12

Principal:
Ramon Miramontes

Address:
780 Beechwood Ave.
Fullerton, California 92835-

Phone:
714-447-2850

Email:
ramon_miramontes@fsd.k12.ca.us

Website:
**[http://fsd.k12.ca.us/beechwood/index
2.html](http://fsd.k12.ca.us/beechwood/index2.html)**



I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Beechwood Elementary School is located in the northern section of Fullerton, one of 20 school sites in the Fullerton School District. The vision at Beechwood is that "All Students Will Excel; Failure is Not an Option." Our high expectation for student achievement is our first priority. As a result from our focused system of assessment and accountability, we use results from the CST, CELDT, district benchmarks, and teacher assessments to allow our staff to monitor the academic growth for all students. With the additional use of Data Director, student assessment results are frequently reviewed and instruction is modified. These interventions may include small group instruction, after-school programs, fluid math placements, and in-class support from specialists. Student progress is consistently reported to students and parents through progress reports, report cards, parent conferences, and benchmark assessment results.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parental involvement.

Numerous opportunities exist for parental involvement both in the classroom, at home, and planning and implementing school/community events. Please contact the school office for further information.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	94
Grade 1	86
Grade 2	90
Grade 3	95
Grade 4	94
Grade 5	92
Grade 6	108
Total Enrollment	866

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.3	White	44.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1	Two or More Races	4.7
Asian	23.6	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	5.2
Filipino	2.2	English Learners	10.3
Hispanic or Latino	19.9	Students with Disabilities	6.2
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.2		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays, by grade level, the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2008-09			2009-10			2010-11					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	30		3		27	1	2		31.3		2	1
1	20	4			24		4		21.5	4		
2	20	4			24		4		30		3	
3	28		3		32		3		31.7		3	
4	35			2	32		2	1	31.3		3	
5	36			2	35			3	30.7		3	
6	34			3	35			3	35			1
K-3	18	1										
3-4	0											
4-8	32		1		33		2	4				
Other												

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

A comprehensive school safety plan was developed and approved prior to March 1, 2000 in compliance with Education Code Sections 33126, 35256, and 32286 as well as applicable District policies and regulations. Each year, prior to March 1, the school's safety plan is reviewed, updated and discussed with staff and School Site Council. Annually, the school's staff reports on the status of its safety plan with the school leadership team, PTA and School Site Council. Status reports and updates are reviewed at the beginning of each school year and again in December before the finished School Safety Plan is approved by the members of the School Site Council on March 1. The key elements of the plan include: a) providing a safe teaching and learning environment for all students and staff members, b) ensuring that all students are safe and secure while at school sponsored activities, c) making district programs and community resources available to students and parents, and d) creating a school where students, programs, and community members interact in an atmosphere of mutual respect that enhances the environment for learning. Each year the school submits along with the safety plan a detailed "action plan" which addresses the identified areas the staff and School Site Council has brought forward as critical areas of concern or how they should improve. A copy of the plan is available at all times in the school office and will provide a more detailed description of each element of the plan including specific actions, resources and time lines to be implemented by the school. Copies are also available at the District Office in the office of Child Welfare and Attendance.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	0.7	0	0.46	4.3	5.8	4.75
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	0	0.1

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. Listed below is more specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

Beechwood Elementary School has 29 classrooms, a library media center, a childcare center and a Multipurpose room. The main campus was built in 1966. The media center was added in 1972. Three portable classrooms were added in 2000, two were added in 2001, seven were added in 2002, and six more were added in 2005 and 3 more were added in 2006. The multipurpose building was added in 2006. Two more portable classrooms were added in 2007.

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

The District has established cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the district maintenance office. The Maintenance and Operations staff works with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior and exterior painting, and floor systems. During the 2010-11 school year, the District spent \$200,360 on deferred maintenance. For the 2011-12 school year, the District budgeted \$460,000 for the deferred maintenance program.

School Facility Good Repair Status. (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Inspected On: 12/6/2011	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	—		—	—	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	—	—		—	Secure TV mounts
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	—		—	—	
Electrical: Electrical	—		—	—	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	—		—	—	Secure loose sink
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	—		—	—	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	—		—	—	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	—		—	—	
Overall Rating	—		—	—	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
Teachers with Full Credential	30	37	35	0
Teachers without Full Credential	2	0	0	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	1	0	N/A

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	2	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	4	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by Highly Qualified Teachers as defined in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), in a school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under the ESEA can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	866
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.25	N/A
Psychologist	0.2	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist (non - teaching)	1.5	N/A
Other	0	N/A

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Description of school's program towards meeting William's Settlement Requirements

On October 12, 2010, the Governing Board of the Fullerton School District certified that, as of that date, each pupil in the District in kindergarten through grade eight, had been provided with a standards-aligned textbook or basic instructional materials purchased from the approved standards-aligned adoption list in the core curriculum areas listed below.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school; whether the textbooks and instructional materials are from the most recent adoption (yes/no); and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	2010-11 Houghton Mifflin Medallions K-5 2001-02 Holt 6-8 2009-10 Steck-Vaughn California Gateways Intensive Intervention 4-8	0	Yes

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Mathematics	2008-09 Houghton Mifflin K-5	0	Yes
	2008-09 Holt McDougal 6-8		
	2008-09 Glencoe Algebra Readiness 8		
	2008-09 Holt Geometry 8		
Science	2007-08 MacMillan/McGraw-Hill K-5	0	Yes
	2007-08 Holt McDougal 6-8		
History-Social Science	2006-07 Houghton Mifflin K-5	0	Yes
	2006-07 McDougal Littell 6-8		
Foreign Language	2001-02 En Español 7-8	0	Yes
Health	On-line Health Curriculum	0	Yes
	Dairy Council of California (grade-appropriate) materials		
	Too Good for Drugs materials (grades 4-6)		
	2004-05 Holt McDougal Decisions for Health (Grade 7)		
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			
Visual and Performing Arts	"All the Arts for All the Kids" lessons/curriculum in visual art, music, dance and theater	0	Yes
	Instrumental music (grades 5-6)		
	Band and string instruments and musical scores (grades 7-8)		

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$3,441.00	\$120.00	\$3,321.00	\$65,110.00
District	N/A	N/A	\$3,948.00	\$73,550.00
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-15.88	-11.48
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,455.00	\$69,419.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-39.12	-6.21

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services (e.g., information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement status) that are provided at the school through either categorical funds or other sources.

Types of Services Funded

In addition to general state funding, Fullerton School District receives state and federal categorical funding for special programs. These funds support the following educational programs, including but not limited to:

- Beginning Teacher and Support (BTSA)
- Class Size Reduction
- Gifted and Talented Education
- Economic Impact Aid
- Instructional Materials
- Peer Assistance and Review (PAR)
- School Safety and Violence Prevention
- Special Education
- Title I, Economically Disadvantaged
- Title II, Teacher and Principal Quality
- Title III, Education for English Learners

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,186	\$41,692
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,033	\$68,251
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,984	\$86,582
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$113,582	\$108,334
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$117,015	\$111,791
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$113,648
Superintendent Salary	\$190,592	\$180,492
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	45	42

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6	6

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The assessments under the STAR program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through nine, mathematics for grades three through seven and Algebra I and science in grades five, eight, and ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2009 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/pkt5intrpts09.pdf>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	83	88	89	61	65	67	49	52	54
Mathematics	86	90	92	68	72	73	46	48	50
Science	87	93	99	68	74	79	50	54	57
History-Social Science	85	86	91	55	63	64	41	44	48

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	67	73	79	64
All Students at the School	89	92	99	91
Male	88	92	99	98
Female	90	93	99	85
Black or African American	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
Asian	96	97	100	96
Filipino	94	94	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	79	83	98	61
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White	89	95	99	98
Two or More Races	87	88	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86	83	100	0
English Learners	80	89	100	0
Students with Disabilities	76	76	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	16	25.5	54.3
7	5.6	17.8	72
9	0	0	0

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	10	10	10
Similar Schools	10	10	10

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years. Note: N/A means that the student group is not numerically significant or data were not available.

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	18	19	12
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian	17	9	8
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	16	2	12
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White	19	22	13
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API		
	School	LEA	State
All Students at the School	961	861	778
Black or African American		807	696
American Indian or Alaska Native		812	733
Asian	993	971	898
Filipino	1000	938	859
Hispanic or Latino	913	785	729
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		856	764
White	963	906	845
Two or More Races	972	929	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	943	779	726
English Learners	951	802	707
Students with Disabilities	882	702	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the six AYP criteria described above.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	6
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	30

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

2010-2011 (Three Days)

Primary Focus

- K-8 Intervention Programs
- K-8 English Language Development
- K-8 Writing Strategies
- K-8 Language Arts Strategies
- K-8 Mathematics Strategies

Delivery of Professional Development

- Staff Development Day
- Workshops
- Conferences
- After-school Workshops
- In-class Coaching

Other Related Professional Development Activities

- Data-Driven instruction
- Differentiated Instruction
- Technology
- Health and Wellness
- SDAIE Strategies
- Systematic ELD
- Response to Intervention
- Professional Learning Communities

2009-2010 (Three Days)

Primary Focus

- K-8 Intervention Programs
- K-8 English Language Development
- K-8 Writing Strategies
- K-8 Language Arts Strategies
- K-8 Mathematics Strategies

Delivery of Professional Development

- Staff Development Day
- Workshops
- Conferences
- After-school Workshops
- In-class Coaching

Beechwood Elementary 2010-11 School Accountability Report Card

Other Related Professional Development Activities

- Data-Driven instruction
- Differentiated Instruction
- Technology
- Health and Wellness
- SDAIE Strategies
- Systematic ELD
- Response to Intervention
- Professional Learning Communities

2008-2009 (Three Days)

Primary Focus

- K-8 Mathematics Textbook Adoption
- K-5 Physical Education Program
- K-8 English Language Development
- K-8 Writing Strategies

Delivery of Professional Development

- Staff Development Day
- Workshops
- Conferences
- After- school Workshops
- In-class Coaching

Other Related Professional Development Activities

- Data-Driven instruction
- Mathematics Training
- Differentiated Instruction
- Technology
- Physical Education/Wellness
- SDAIE Strategies
- Systematic ELD
- Response to Intervention